PATENT APPLICATION Docket No: 15226.4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Olson et al.)
Serial No.:	09/666,445) Art Unit) 3627
Filed:	September 21, 2000)
Title:	SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR COMMUNICATING BETWEEN A DECISION-SUPPORT SYSTEM AND ONE OR MORE MOBILE INFORMATION DEVICES))))
Examiner:	Vanel Frenel)
Customer No.:	022,913)

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR § 1.97

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Please find, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 (a) (1), enclosed Form PTO-1449 that contains a list of all patents, publications, or other items that have come to the attention of one or more of the individuals designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (c). While no representation is made that any of these references may be "prior art" within the meaning of that term under 37 C.F.R. §§ 102 or 103, the enclosed list of references is disclosed to fully comply with the duty of disclosure set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97 (g) and (h), Applicant makes no representation that a search has been made or that the information cited is considered to be material to patentability. Additionally, Applicant makes no representation regarding the completeness of this list nor represents that other art does not exist.

Applicant has listed publication dates on the attached Form PTO-1449 based on information that is presently available to the undersigned attorney of record. The listed publication dates, however, should not be construed as an admission that the references were actually published on the dates indicated and Applicant reserves the right to establish that the actual publication dates of any of these references.

Applicant also reserves the right to establish patentability of the claimed invention over any of the listed references, and/or to prove that any of the listed references are not prior art, and/or to prove that any of the listed references do not enable or otherwise teach, suggest or disclose the claimed invention.

In accordance with 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98, a copy of each of the listed references or relevant portion thereof is enclosed.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 (c), all English translations within the possession, custody, control or availability of anyone designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (c) of each non-English reference, if any, are also enclosed.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 23-3178 in connection with filing this document and any other documents filed herewith.

DATED this <u>let</u> day of April, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN C. STRINGHAM Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 40,831

CUSTOMER NO.: 022,913

W:\15226\4\DFR0000017636V001.doc

Form PTO-1449

Applicant:

Jonathan B. Olson, et al.

Serial No.: Filing Date:

09/666,445 09/21/2000

Att'y Docket No.: 15226.4

Group: 3626

Sheet 1 of 3

For:

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR COMMUNICATING BETWEEN A DECISION-SUPPORT SYSTEM AND ONE OR MORE MOBILE

INFORMATION DEVICES

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATIONS MADE BY APPLICANT

U.S. Patent Documents

Examiner <u>Initial*</u>	Document Number	Issue <u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>
1	4,868,763	9/19/89	Masui et al.
2	5,299,121	3/29/94	Brill et al.
3	5,342,922	8/30/94	Marshall et al.
4	5,355,444	10/11/94	Chirico
5	5,594,638	1/14/97	Iliff
6	5,660,176	8/26/97	Iliff
7	5,672,154	9/30/97	Sillén et al.
8	5,694,950	12/9/97	McMichael
9	5,911,132	6/8/99	Sloane
10	5,924,074	7/13/99	Evans
11	5,950,630	9/14/99	Portwood
12	6,177,940	1/23/01	Bond, et al.
13	6,151,581	11/21/00	Kraftson
Examiner:		Date Considered:	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Form PTO-1449 Sheet 2 of 3 Applicant: Jonathan B. Olson, et al. Serial No .: 09/666,445 Att'y Docket No.: 15226.4 Filing Date: 09/21/2000 Group: 3626 For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR COMMUNICATING BETWEEN A DECISION-SUPPORT SYSTEM AND ONE OR MORE MOBILE INFORMATION DEVICES 14 6,149,585 11/21/00 Gray 15 6,195,612 2/27/01 Pack-Harris 16 6,678,669 1/13/04 Lapointe, et al. 17 6,804,656 10/12/04 Rosenfeld ____18 7,069,227 6/27/06 Lintel III, et al Other Documents (including author, title, pertinent pages, etc.)

Examiner	
Initial*	

19 EVANS R. Scott, et al., "Evaluating the Impact of Computer-based Drug Monitoring on the Quality and Cost of Drug Therapy," Hospital Information Systems: Design and Development Characteristics: Impact and Future Architecture. Medical Artificial Intelligence Series. Amsterdam: Elsevier. 2 (1998): 1-20.

References Cited by Applicants

While the filing of Information Disclosure Statements is voluntary, the procedure is governed by the guidelines of Section 609 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. To be considered a proper Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449 shall be accompanied by a copy of each listed patent or publication or other item of information and a translation of the pertinent portions of foreign documents (if an existing translation is readily available to the applicant), an explanation of relevance of each reference not in the English language, and should be submitted in a timely manner as set out in MPEP Sec. 609.

Examiner:	Date Considered:
*EXAMINER:	Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with
MPEP 609, drav	w line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of

this form with next communication to applicant.

Form PTO-1449

Sheet 3 of 3

Applicant:

Jonathan B. Olson, et al.

Serial No.:

09/666,445

Filing Date:

09/21/2000

Att'y Docket No.: 15226.4

Group: 3626

For:

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR COMMUNICATING BETWEEN A DECISION-SUPPORT SYSTEM AND ONE OR MORE MOBILE

INFORMATION DEVICES

Examiners will consider all citations submitted in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and MPEP Sec. 609 and place their initials adjacent the citations in the spaces provided on this form. Examiners will also initial citations not in conformance with the guidelines which may have been considered. A reference may be considered by the Examiner for any reason whether or not the citation is in full conformance with the guidelines. A line will be drawn through a citation if it is not in conformance with the guidelines AND has not been considered. A copy of the submitted form, as reviewed by the Examiner, will be returned to the applicant with the next communication. The original of the form will be entered into the application file.

Each citation initialed by the Examiner will be printed on the issued patent in the same manner as references cited by the Examiner on Form PTO-892.

The reference designations "A1," "A2," etc. (referring to Applicant's reference 1, Applicant's reference 2, etc.) will be used by the Examiner in the same manner as Examiner's reference designations "A," "B," "C," etc. on Office Action Form PTO-1142.

GRL0000000146V001

Examiner:

Date Considered:

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.